

A free boundary problem in backward diffusion

Ben Schweizer

TU Dortmund

Orlando, July 2008

A nonconvex energy functional

$\Omega = (0, L) \subset \mathbb{R}^1$, solution $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Minimize the energy

$$E(u) := \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 + (1 - |\partial_x u|^2)^2$$

among Lipschitz-functions


$u \in \text{Lip}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

Properties. $E \geq 0$ and $\inf_u E(u) = 0$.

Let u_n be a minimizing sequence. Then:

$u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and

$u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $W^{1,4}(\Omega)$.



an element of a
minimizing sequence

Two problems

- $u = 0$ is not a minimizer
- loss of information

Fundamental idea: identify functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the family of Radon-measures $\nu_x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\nu_x = \delta_{f(x)} \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

- define $\nu_x^n \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ as

$$\nu_x^n = \delta_{\partial_x u_n(x)}$$

- The sequence ν^n is bounded (by 1) in

$$X = L^\infty(\Omega; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}))$$

- X is the dual of $L^1(\Omega; C_0(\mathbb{R}))$. Hence, for a subsequence,

$$\nu^n \xrightarrow{*} \nu$$

for some $\nu \in X$.

In one formula: For $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in C_c(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\partial_x u_n(x)) \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda) \varphi(x) dx.$$

In our example we find

$$\nu_x = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{+1}$$

the Young measure is the limiting probability distribution of values

Idea of proof: The formula implies

$$f(\partial_x u_n(\cdot)) \rightharpoonup \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda).$$

Use $f(p) = (1 - |p|^2)^2$. Then

$$0 \leftarrow E(u_n) \geq \int_{\Omega} (1 - |\partial_x u_n|^2)^2,$$

hence

$$0 \leftarrow f(\partial_x u_n) \rightharpoonup \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda) dx.$$


The only zeroes of f are $+1$ and -1 .

For the probabilities: Use $f(p) = p$.

Our problem

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x [q(\partial_x u)]$$

on $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ for
 $\Omega = (0, L) \subset \mathbb{R}^1$.



We may write



$$\partial_t u = q'(\partial_x u) \partial_x^2 u$$

Backward diffusion in regions with $\partial_x u(x) \in (s_-, s_+)$.

- [1] M. Slemrod, Dynamics of Measure Valued Solutions to a Backward-Forward Heat Equation. J. Dyn. Differ. Eqns. 3, 1–28 (1991).
- [2] S. Demoulini, Young measure solutions for a nonlinear parabolic equation of forward-backward type. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 27, 376-403 (1996).
- [3] D. Horstmann and B. Schweizer, A free boundary characterization for forward-backward diffusion. Advances in Differential Equations, (2008).

We can construct the primitive for q , the function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\Phi'(s) = \partial_s \Phi(s) \stackrel{!}{=} q(s).$$





Energy decay: $E(t) := \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\partial_x u(t, x)) dx$ satisfies

$$\partial_t E(t) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi'(\partial_x u) \partial_t \partial_x u = - \int_{\Omega} \partial_x [q(\partial_x u)] \partial_t u = - \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t u|^2$$

Our problem:

$$q \text{ not monotone} \iff \Phi \text{ not convex}$$



Weak solution concept for $\partial_t u = \partial_x [q(\partial_x u)]$.

$$q^* = \partial_s \Phi^{**}(z_-)$$

Definition: Young-measure solution (simplified)

(u, ν) is a *Young measure solution* if $u \in H^1(\Omega_T)$ and $\nu : \Omega_T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot \bar{q} \quad \text{in the sense of distributions with} \quad (1)$$

$$\bar{q}(t, x) = \langle \nu_{t,x}, q \rangle, \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla u(t, x) = \langle \nu_{t,x}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \rangle \quad \text{for a.e. } (t, x) \in \Omega_T. \quad (3)$$

Young measure solutions (u, ν) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u &= \nabla \cdot \bar{q} \quad \text{in the sense of distributions with} \\ \bar{q}(t, x) &= \langle \nu_{t,x}, q \rangle, \\ \nabla u(t, x) &= \langle \nu_{t,x}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \rangle \quad \text{for a.e. } (t, x) \in \Omega_T.\end{aligned}$$

An observation: Frozen solutions.

Let the initial values satisfy $\partial_x u_0(x) \in (z_-, z_+)$ for all x .

$$\begin{aligned}u(t, x) &:= u_0(x) \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\ \nu_{t,x} &:= \sigma(x)\delta_{z_-} + (1 - \sigma(x))\delta_{z_+}.\end{aligned}$$

where σ is determined such that $\sigma(x)z_- + (1 - \sigma(x))z_+ = \partial_x u_0(x)$.
Then (u, ν) is a Young measure solution.

- The definition of ν implies $\langle \nu, \text{id} \rangle = \partial_x u$, hence (3)
- We set $\bar{q}(t, x) := \langle \nu_{t,x}, q \rangle = \sigma(x)q(z_-) + (1 - \sigma(x))q(z_+) = q^*$ according to (2).
- Then $\partial_t u = 0 = \partial_x \bar{q}$, hence (1).

Slemrod An SP-solution (u, ν) is constructed from solutions u_ε of

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon = \partial_x [q(\partial_x u^\varepsilon)] - \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^4 u^\varepsilon.$$

$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere and $\partial_x u_\varepsilon$ generates $\nu_{t,x}$.

Demoulini An EM-solution (u, ν) is constructed with a time-discretization. Given $u^{(t)}$, find $u = u^{(t+\Delta t)}$ through

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(\partial_x u) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{\Omega} |u - u^{(t)}|^2 \rightarrow \min.$$

Weak limits are again Young-measure solutions. Furthermore, they satisfy:

$$\nu_{x,t} \text{ is of the form } \begin{cases} \delta_{\partial_x u(x,t)} \text{ or} \\ \sigma(x,t) \delta_{z_-} + (1 - \sigma(x,t)) \delta_{z_+} \end{cases}$$

independence property

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nu_{t,x}, q^{**} \rangle &= \langle \nu_{t,x}, q \rangle \\ \langle \nu_{t,x}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot q^{**} \rangle &= \langle \nu_{t,x}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \rangle \cdot \langle \nu_{t,x}, q^{**} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

The independence property makes the Young measure solution unique. Let $(\bar{u}, \bar{\nu})$ with $\bar{q} = \langle \bar{\nu}, q \rangle$, and $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\nu})$ with $\tilde{q} = \langle \tilde{\nu}, q \rangle$ be solutions. We multiply $\partial_t(\bar{u} - \tilde{u}) = \nabla \cdot (\bar{q} - \tilde{q})$ with $\bar{u} - \tilde{u}$. The right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{\Omega_T} (\bar{q} - \tilde{q}) \cdot \nabla(\bar{u} - \tilde{u}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(\lambda_1) \lambda_2 d\bar{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_1) d\bar{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_2) - \int_{\Omega_T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(\lambda_1) \lambda_2 d\bar{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_1) d\tilde{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_2) \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega_T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(\lambda_2) \lambda_1 d\bar{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_1) d\tilde{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_2) + \int_{\Omega_T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(\lambda_1) \lambda_2 d\tilde{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_1) d\tilde{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_2) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [q^{**}(\lambda_1) - q^{**}(\lambda_2)] \cdot (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) d\bar{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_1) d\tilde{\nu}_{t,x}(\lambda_2) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Collecting facts ...

- There exists a Young-measure solution, constructed with a viscosity approach (Slemrod). Comparison principle. No uniqueness.
- There exists a Young-measure solution which, additionally, satisfies the independence property (Demoulini). This solution is unique.

The solutions can be different

Let u_0 satisfy $\partial_x u_0(x) \in (z_-, s_-)$ for all x . Then


- Forward regime \rightarrow classical solution exists \rightarrow Slemrod concept recovers classical solution.
- Independence does not allow $\partial_x u \in (z_-, z_+)$. Result:

$$u(\cdot, t) = u_0.$$

Stabilization [Demoulini]. Given u_0 , there exists $U \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$u(x, t) \rightarrow U(x) \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

For EM-solutions we expect:



The free boundary problem

$$X(0) = x_0, \partial_x u_0(x_0) = z_+$$

$$\partial_t u(t, \cdot) = 0 \text{ for } x > X(t)$$

$$\partial_t u(t, \cdot) = \partial_x [q(\partial_x u)] \text{ for } x < X(t)$$

$$\partial_x u(t, X(t) - 0) = z_+$$

Theorem (Characterization of the EM-solution)

$u_0 \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ with $u_0(0) = u_0(L) = 0$ and

$$\partial_x u_0 \geq z_+ \text{ in } [0, x_1),$$

$$\partial_x u_0 \in (z_-, z_+) \text{ in } (x_1, x_2),$$

$$\partial_x u_0 \leq z_- \text{ in } (x_2, L].$$

Then the EM-solution is given by the unique solution of (P).

(P): Find $u \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T], \mathbb{R}) \cap L^2((0, T), H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}))$, and $X_1, X_2 \in C([0, T], [0, L]) \cap W^{1,1}((0, T), \mathbb{R})$, X_1 increasing, X_2 decreasing,

$$X_1(0) = x_1, \quad X_2(0) = x_2,$$

$$\partial_t u(t, \cdot) = 0 \text{ in } (X_1(t), X_2(t)),$$

$$\partial_t u(t, \cdot) = \partial_x [q(\partial_x u)] \text{ in } (0, L) \setminus (X_1(t), X_2(t)),$$

$$\partial_x u(t, X_1(t) - 0) = z_+, \quad \partial_x u(t, X_2(t) + 0) = z_-.$$

Ideas for the proof.

- 1 We set $v := \partial_x u - z$ and differentiate the equation to find

$$\partial_t v = \partial_x [q'(v+z)\partial_x v] =: \partial_x [a\partial_x v]$$

The direct boundary condition in $X(t)$ is $v(X(t)) = 0$.

- 2 The boundary condition $u(t, X(t)) = u_0(X(t))$ gives



$$\partial_x u \partial_t X + \partial_t u = \partial_x u_0 \partial_t X$$

or, subtracting z , and using $v_0 = \partial_x u_0 - z$

$$v \partial_t X + a \partial_x v = v_0 \partial_t X$$

- 3 v is positive for $x < X(t)$ and v_0 is negative for $x > X(t)$.
Nevertheless, we can make the equation explicit for $\partial_t X$.

Easy direction: Given a solution to the free boundary problem
 \longrightarrow this is the EM-solution.



Theorem (Long-time behavior)

Let initial values $u_0 \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ be as before. Then,

- 1 If $u_0(\xi) \geq \min\{z_+\xi, z_-(\xi - L)\}$ for all $\xi \in (0, L)$, then the EM-solution u exists for all times and

$$u(x, t) \rightarrow \min\{u_0(x), z_+x, z_-(x - L)\} \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

- 2 If $u_0(\xi) < \min\{z_+\xi, z_-(\xi - L)\}$ for one $\xi \in (0, L)$, then

$$u(x, t) \rightarrow \min\{z_+x, z_-(x - L)\} \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem (Discontinuous dependence of the SP-solution)


Let $u_0 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfy $z_- < \partial_x u_0 < z_+$, with EM-solution $u_{EM}(x, t) = u_0(x)$.

There exists a sequence of perturbations $u_0^\delta \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ of the initial values,

$$\|u_0^\delta - u_0\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } \delta \rightarrow 0,$$

such that every corresponding SP-solution $u_{SP}^\delta : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$u_{SP}^\delta(t, \cdot) \rightarrow u_{EM} \text{ in } L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ for } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$



Thank you!